### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 18 MAY 2006 WIPO PCT

## **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTI	ON :	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
TRCA-004WO				
International application No.		International filing date (day	y/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/US04/41308		10 December 2004 (10.12.2	2004)	11 December 2003 (11.12.2003)
	al Patent Classification (IPC)		IPC	
IPC: A61K 38/00( 2006.01);C07K 14 USPC: 514/2;530/350		14/00( 2006.01)		
Applicant				
TERCICA				1 11 d' La cia l' Decliminant
1.	Examining Authority under	er Article 35 and transmitte	ed to the applicant a	
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet.			
3.	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:			
	a. (sent to the application)	ant and to the Internationa	l Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).  sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an			
	amendment	that goes beyond the ditem 4 of Box No. I and the	isclosure in the in	ternational application as filed, as
	b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s))  , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).			
4.	This report contains indic	cations relating to the follo	wing items:	* '
	Box No. I Basis of the report			
	Box No. II	Priority		
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		velty, inventive step and industrial	
	Box No. IV I	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the interr	national application	
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	international applic	ation
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report		
27 May 2005 (27.05.2005)		14 April 2006 (14.04.2006)		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/ US		A distinct officer		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents		Laura Goddard	7. Roberts for	
P.O. Box 1450		n	(	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201			Telephone No. 571-	272-8/88

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(April 2005)

International application No.	
PCT/US04/41308	

Box No. I Basis of the report	
1. With regard to the language, this report is based on:	
the international application in the language in which it was filed.	
a translation of the international application into <u>English</u> , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:	е
international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))	į
publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))	
international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))	
2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are nanexed to this report):	d ot
the international a: cation as originally filed/furnished	
the description pages 1-53 as originally filed/furnished pages* NONE received by this Authority on pages* NONE received by this Authority on pages*	
the claims:  pages 54-56 as originally filed/furnished  pages* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19  pages* NONE received by this Authority on received by this	
the drawings:  pages 1/1 as originally filed/furnished  pages* NONE	
a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) • see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Esting	
3. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
the description, pages	
the claims, Nos.	
the drawings, sheets/figs	
the sequence listing (specify):	
any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	
4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c))	∌,
the description, pages the claims, Nos the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (specify):	
any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	
* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."	

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. I) (April 2005)

International application No.	
PCT/IIS04/41308	

Box No.	III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The ques	tions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to trially applicable have not been examined in respect of:
	the entire international application
$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. <u>11-15</u>
	because:
	the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
$\boxtimes$	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 11-15 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
Claims 1	1-15 are improper multiple dependent claims.
	the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):  no international search report has been established for said claims Nos
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:
	furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b) and 13ter.2.
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	See Supplemental Box for further details

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. III) (April 2005)

International application No. PCT/US04/41308

ox No. V Reasoned statement under Art applicability; citations and exp	icle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive lanations supporting such statement	Deck of warmer wa
Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-10, 16-25	
	Claims NONE	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims 17	
	Claims <u>1-10, 16, 18-25</u>	NC
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-10, 16-25	YE
	Claims NONE	NC
Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7) lease See Continuation Sheet		

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. V) (April 2005)

International application No. PCT/US04/41308

	Supplemental Box
-	In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.
	Continuation of:
i	
	V. 2. Citations and Explanations: Claims 1-5, 9, and 18 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Fuh et al (J Biological Chemistry, 1995, 270:13133-13137) and US Patent 5,854,026 (issued 29 December 1998).
	Fuh et al (p. 13133, col. 1) and US Patent 5,854,026 (col. 22, lines 56-67) teach the treatment of breast cancer with human prolactin (hPRL) receptor antagonists such as human growth hormone (hGH) variants. Fuh et al teach that zinc increases the affinity of hGH for the hPRL receptor (abstract; p. 13134, col. 2). Fuh et al teaches ZnSO4 (p. 13134, col. 1). US Patent 5,854,026 teaches that hGH variants may inhibit the growth of cells expressing prolactin receptors, wherein the variant is an antagonist that binds to the prolactin receptor (col. 22, lines 62-67).
	Claims 6 and 10 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied in the immediately

preceding paragraph and further in view of Rosoff et al (The Prostate, 1982, 3:615-622).

Rosoff et al teach that there are prolactin receptors in the prostate (p. 615).

Claims 1-3, 8, and 25 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied in the preceding paragraphs and further in view of Cunningham et al (Science, 1990, 250:1709-1712).

Cunningham et al teach that zinc is required for tight binding of hGH to the hPRL receptor (p. 1709, col. 1) and teach the administration of different concentrations of zinc with hGH (Fig. 2). Cunningham et al teach a composition comprising zinc and hGH

International application No. PCT/US04/41308

#### Supplemental Box

to optimize the hormone receptor complex (p. 1709, col. 2).

Claim 16 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied in the preceding paragraphs and further in view of Chen et al (International J Oncology, 2002, 20:813-818).

Chen et al teach the administration of a hPRL receptor antagonist in slow-releasing pellets to inhibit breast cancer cell growth in vivo (p. 813, col. 2).

Claims 19, 21, 22, and 24 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied in the preceding paragraphs and further in view of Mah et al (Semin Reprod Med, 2002, 20:365-374).

Mah et al teach that dopamine agonist therapy is the treatment of choice in most cases of hyperprolactinemia, with surgery being reserved for patients unresponsive to or intolerant of the medical therapy (p. 371, col. 2).

Claims 7 and 23 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied in the preceding paragraphs and further in view of Xu et al (Cancer Research, 2001, 61:6098-6104).

Xu et al teach antiandrogen or prolactin receptor antagonist therapy to combat cell proliferation disease such as hyperplasia (abstract; p. 6098, col. 1 and 2).

Claim 20 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied in the immediately preceding paragraph and further in view of US Patent 5,677,171 (issued 14 October 1997).

US Patent 5,677,171 teaches the treatment of patients with a Her-2 receptor antagonist (col. 11).

Claim 17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3) because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the claimed invention.

Claims 1-10 and 16-25 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.